Exhibit 1 - Judgment In A Capital Case

782657

THE STATE OF TEXAS Charles Victor Thempson

262 DISTRICT IN THE

COURT OF HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS

Change of Venue From:

JUDGMENT - DEATH PENALTY

Judge Presiding: D. Shaner

Date of Judgment:

for State: V. Wishly

Attorney for Defendant:

Offense Convicted of:

Pegreg: CAPITAL Punishment Assessed: DEATH Charging Instrument: Indictment

Date Offense Committed:

Plea: Not Guilty

Affirmative Findings: (Circle appropriate selection - N/A pot available or not applicable)
DEADLY WEAPON: Yes |NO (N/A) FAMILY VIOLENCE: Yes |NO (N/A) HATE CRIME: Yes |NO (N/A)

The Defendant having been indicted in the above entitled and numbered cause for the felony offense indicated above and this cause being this day called for trial, the State appeared by her District Attorney as named above and the Defendant named above appeared in person with Counsel as named above, and both parties announced ready for trial.

A Jury composed of Kennih Paule and eleven others was selected, impanelled, and sworn. The indictment was read to the Jury, and the Defendant entered a plea of not guilty thereto, after having heard the evidence submitted; and having been charged by the Court as to their duty to determine the guilt or innocence of the Defendant and having heard argument of counsels, the Jury stired in charge of the proper officer and returned into open Court on 19 1, the following verdict, which was received by the Court and is here entered on record upon the minutes:

We, The Jury, and the difference of the Defendant August of the proper officer and returned into open Court on 19 1, the following verdict, which was received by the Court and is here entered on record upon the minutes:

We, The Jury, and the difference of the Defendant of the Manual State of the Jury of the Manual State of the Jury A Jury composed of Kennuth Paulle

Thereupon, the Jury, in accordance with law, heard further evidence in consideration of punishment, and having been again charged by the Court, the jury retired in charged the proper office; in consideration of punishment and returned into open Court on the 16 1/1 day of 1911, the following verdict, which was received by the Court and is here entered of record upon the minutes:

(Special Issues/Verdict/Certification):

**Royal fund from the lumitation begand a plantable clouder that there is a problability that the defendant, Charles Micros Meagans would comment from the form of the defendant, Charles Micros Meagans would comment from the continuous control of the continuous control of the continuous control of the c

weald common frimman and investigated and determine luyered a reasonably we, the pury unanimousty find and determine luyered a reasonably dealer that the ensure to this special some is "fixeneithed pane 4-64 foremon of the July (100212)

CRH-25 RO6-30-94

ly find that the answer We the Jury , return in open court the above assuers to the "Special Issue submitted to us, and the same is een weeder to this case. 15/ Klast & Bauer "

Foreman of the fury"

It is therefore considered, ordered, and adjudged by the Court that the Defendant is guilty of the offense indicated above, a felony, as found by the verdict of the jury, and that the said Defendant committed the said offense on the date indicated above, and that he be punished as has been determined by the Jury, by death, and that Defendant be remanded to jail to await further orders of this court.

And thereupon, the said Defendant was asked by the Court whether he had anything to say why sentence should not be pronounced against him, and he answered nothing in bar thereof.

Whereupon the Court proceeded, in presence of said Defendant to pronounce sentence against him as follows, to wit, "It is the order of the Court that the Defendant named above, who has been adjudged to be guilty of the offense indicated above and whose punishment has who has been adjudged to be guilty of the offense indicated above and whose punishment has been assessed by the verdict of the jury and the judgment of the Court at Death, shall be delivered by the Sheriff of Harris County, Texas immediately to the Director of the Institutional Division, Texas Department of Criminal Justice or any other person legally authorized to receive such convicts, and said Defendant shall be confined in said Institutional Division in accordance with the provisions of the law governing the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Institutional Division until a date of execution of the said Defendant is imposed by this Court after receipt in this Court of mandate of affirmance from the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.

The said Defendant is remanded to jail until said Sheriff can obey the directions of this sentence. From which sentence an appeal is taken as a matter of law to the Court of Criminal Appeals of the State of Texas.

Signed and entered on this the _____ day of

DISTRICT COURT

Harris County, Texas

000213